| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | 10/828,931 | BRINK, DANIEL J. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Colleen M. Quinn | 3634 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Colleen M. Quinn. | (3) | |
| (2) Marc Rossi. | (4) | · |
| Date of Interview: 16 November 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | • |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: Claim 11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | • | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 11 will be amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the "pair of wheels". | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Mu B. Thomast | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Sig | nature – if appropriate) |